K

WATER QUALITY MEMORANDUM

Utah Coal Regulatory Program

June 10, 2009

TO:		Internal File				
THRU	ī:	Daron Haddock, Permit Supervisor	1,0/09			
FROM	1 :	James D. Smith, Environmental Scientist III	- 06/14/			
RE:		2009 First Quarter Water Monitoring, Andalex Reso C/007/0033, Task ID # 3236	ources, Inc., W	ldcat Loadout,		
The Wildcat Loadout has no coal extraction operations. The monitoring plan is described in the MRP on page 137 and in Tables IV-10 and IV-11. Quarterly reports submitted by the Permittee indicate that observed flow has been rare: most recent - March 2003 at WCW-1 and July 2007 at UPDES discharge point WCW-E 005.						
1.	Were	Were data submitted for all of the MRP required sites?				
	There	is no spring, well, or in-mine monitoring at this site.				
	Stream	ns	YES 🖂	NO 🗌		
There was no flow at MRP surface-water monitoring points WCW-1, WCW-2, WCW-3, and WCW-4 during the first quarter of 2009.						
	UPDE	S	YES 🔀	NO 🗌		
There was no flow or discharge at UPDES monitoring points WCW-A 001, WCW-B 002, WCW-C 003, WCW-D 004, WCW-E 005, and WCW-F 006 during the first quarter of 2009.						
2.	Were	all required parameters reported for each site?				
	Stream	ms	YES 🖂	NO 🗌		
	"No flow" was reported for all MRP monitoring points during the first quarter of 2009.					

9140

	UPDES	YES 🖂	NO 🗌		
quarte	"No flow" or "no discharge" was reported for all UPDES or of 2009.	lischarge points	during the firs		
3.	Were any irregularities found in the data?				
	Streams	YES	NO 🗵		
	UPDES	YES	NO 🖂		
4.	On what date does the MRP require a five-year resamp	ling of baselin	e water data?		
In the year preceding renewal, one sample at low flow and one at high flow for baseline analyses. The next renewal submittal is due $01/05/09$ and the next renewal is due $05/05/09$, so five-year resampling would have been done in 2008, but there was no reported flow at any monitoring site during 2008.					
5.	Did the Permittee make a timely submittal of all data, in data, and satisfactorily explain irregular data?	ncluding initia YES 🔀	lly missing NO □		
6.	Does the Mine Operator need to submit more informati monitoring requirements?	on to fulfill thi	is quarter's NO ⊠		
7.	Follow-up from last quarter, if necessary.				
	None.				
8.	Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do y	ou recommend	1?		

Because of the sparsity of flow events at this loadout, the monitoring plan should be clarified so that any flow during the year preceding permit renewal will be analyzed for baseline

 $O: \label{eq:conditional} O: \label{eq:con$

parameters.